

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 10/076,394 02/19/2002 Takashi Shikama 36856.634 1383 7590 08/25/2003 Joseph R. Keating, Esq. **EXAMINER KEATING & BENNETT, LLP** NGUYEN, TUYEN T Suite 312 10400 Eaton Place ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Fairfax, VA 22030

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

2832 DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | • | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|----|--|
| į | | Application No | D | Applicant(s) | CN | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/076,394 | | SHIKAMA ET AL. | v | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | | TUYEN T NGU | | 2832 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, ho within the statutory mail apply and will expir cause the application | wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u> | luly 2003 . | • | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| | on of Claims | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11,13,15 and 16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) 12,14 and 17-19 is/are rejected. BEST AVAILABLE COPY | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requir | ement. | | • | |
| | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/337,988</u> . | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | | , | 33 - 2 | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> . | 4) 5) . 6) | Notice of Informal F | r (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PT | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/076,394

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-11, 13 and 15-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 4.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12, 14 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 12, there lacks sufficient structure to support the functional language of "wherein said internal conductor and said external terminals define a *previously formed, integral, unitary member.*" Claims 14 and 17-19 inherit the defect of the parent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,394

Art Unit: 2832

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Claims 12, 14 and 17-19, as best understood in view of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP Utility Patent 03-106708 in view of JP 01-253906.

JP Utility Patent 03-106708 discloses an inductor device [figures 1-4] comprising:

- a magnetic body [3];
- an internal coil conductor [1] embedded in the magnetic body; and
- external terminals [2] disposed at both ends of the internal coil conductor and connected thereto;

wherein the internal conductor and the external terminals define a previously formed, integral, unitary member.

JP Utility Patent 03-106708 discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific materials of the magnetic body.

JP 01-253906 discloses an inductor [figures 1-2]comprising an internal conductor [22] embedded in a magnetic body [23] formed of magnetic materials mixed with resin.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use magnetic body materials of JP 01-253906 for the magnetic body of JP Utility Patent 03-106708 for the purpose of controlling the magnetic flux.

Regarding claim 17, the specific shape of the internal conductor would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of controlling the inductance.

Regarding claim 19, JP Utility Patent 03-106708 discloses the external terminals and the internal coil conductor connected by solder.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,394

Art Unit: 2832

Page 4

Regarding claims 14 and 18, the specific method use for connecting the external

terminals and the internal coil conductor would have been an obvious design consideration for

the purpose of facilitating manufacture.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T NGUYEN whose telephone number is 703-308-0821.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 703-308-7619. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TTN TIN

Trayen Nguyen

BEST AVAILABLE COPY